## $\begin{array}{c} {\rm ASTR}~425/525 \\ {\rm Cosmology} \end{array}$

Worksheet #4Wednesday 08/27/2025

## Question 1.

Since every object in an expanding Universe is receding from all other objects (neglecting peculiar velocity), one can think of redshift as a Doppler shift caused by the receding source of light.

Using the standard relativistic formula for the observed wavelength of light emitted by a source receding from us with speed v

$$\frac{\lambda_{\text{obs}}}{\lambda_{\text{em}}} = \frac{\sqrt{1+v}}{\sqrt{1-v}},\tag{1}$$

show that in the limit that  $v \ll 1$ , we have that the redshift is simply  $z \simeq v$  at leading order.

ASTR 425/525 Worksheet # 4

## Question 2.

The discovery that galaxies that are further away from us recedes faster (i.e. the Hubble-Lemaître law) was a groundbreaking moment in cosmology. However, the early estimates of the Hubble constant  $H_0$ , first by Hubble (1929) and then by Humason (1931) were far from accurate. For instance, Humason measured a galaxy at a distance of 25 Mpc receding from us at 12,000 km/s, and another at a distance of 4 Mpc receding from us at 2,500 km/s. Given only these two measurements, what value of the Hubble constant would Humason have inferred? How does it compare to the current value of  $H_0 \sim 70 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$ ?

current value of $H_0 \sim 70 \text{ km/s/Mpc?}$		